

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

Behaviour of Box Shape Shearwall in High Rise Residential Building Using Etabs

Eshwar Khanna.E¹, B.K.Vishwanath²

P.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Dr.K.V.Subba Reddy Institute of Technology , Dupadu,

Andhra Pradesh, India¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Dr.K.V.Subba Reddy Institute of Technology , Dupadu,

Andhra Pradesh, India²

ABSTRACT: Constructions made of shear walls are high in strength ,they majorly resist the seismic force, wind forces and even can be build on soils of weak bases by adopting various ground improvement techniques. In the present analysis a building with a height of 50 meters is analysed in ZONE-2 with three different soils. Moment is compared with different zones & soils in both Static & Dynamic analysis.

We are verifying and designing this structure using Extended Three Dimension Analysis of Buildings (ETABS) 2013software.

KEYWORDS: High-rise building, Etabs2013, Dynamic Analysis,

I. INTRODUCTION

Concrete or masonry continuous vertical walls may serve both architecturally as partitions and structurally to carry gravity and lateral loading. There will be no architectural difficulty in extending them through the height of the building; their very high in plane stiffness and strength had proved them to be ideally suited for resisting lateral loads. Compared to frame type structures, shear-wall structures offer less distortion and less damage to non structural elements. Care shall be taken to have symmetrical configuration of walls in the building so that torsion effect in plan could be avoided.

Modern office buildings are designed for large open spaces that can be subdivided with light weight partitioning to suit the individual tenant's needs. Consequently, the structures main vertical components i.e. columns are arranged as far as possible around the perimeter of the plan and internally around the stair case, service shafts and elevators, thus leaving large column free areas available for office planning. Above the partitioning the services are distributed horizontally in each storey and are usually concealed in a ceiling space. The typical storey height required to accommodate the extra depth required is in the range of 3.5m or more. In the case of residential building or hotel, the services then can be run vertically adjacent to the columns and walls or in a separate shafts to emerge in each storey either very close or to be distributed horizontally from where to where required, along the corridor ceiling spaces.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017



1.3.5 Shear wall structures:

Reinforced concrete walls are classified according as bearing walls, non-bearing walls, shear walls, flexural shear walls, and squat shear walls. Shear walls are an area of the lateral force resisting system that carries vertical masses, bending moments regarding the wall sturdy axis, and shear forces parallel to the wall length. Shear wall system is one amongst the foremost common and effective lateral load resisting systems that are wide utilized in medium- to high-rise buildings. It will give the adequate stiffness and strength required for the building to resist wind and earthquake loadings, as long as a correct style is conscientious that cares for each wall strength and malleability.



Fig.1.6 Shear wall structure

It is vital to notice that shear walls meant to resist earthquakes and wind forces ought to be designed for plasticity. wherever a concrete frame is intended to resist lateral forces then a stiff however brittle masonry filler wall is positioned among this frame there's a very nice chance that attributable to its larger stiffness, it'll draw a lot of of the earthquake forces and fill in shear once the brittle masonry fails. So, unreinforced brick walls shouldn't be used as shear walls to resist lateral hundreds. Shear walls area unit classified as follows:

- 1. Simple rectangular types and the flanged walls,
- 2. Coupled shear walls
- 3. Rigid frame shear walls
- 4. Framed walls with infilled frames
- 5. Column supported shear walls
- 6. Core type shear walls



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

Shear walls are rectangle in cross section, i.e. one dimension is much larger than the other. While rectangular crosssection is frequent, L- and U-shaped sections are also used. Thin-walled hollow RC shafts around the elevator core of the structure also act as shear walls, and should be taken advantage of to resist earthquake forces. The Shear Wall sections are classified as six types.

- (a) Box Section
- (b) L Section
- (c) U Section
- (d) W Section
- (e) H Section
- (f) T Section



Box Section

II. NUMERICAL MODELLING

1.	Height of typical storey			=	3.6 m
2.	Height of ground storey	=		3 m	
3.	Length of the building			=	40 m
4.	Width of the building			=	30 m
5.	Span in X-direction		=	=	4 m
6.	Span in Y-direction		=	5 m	
7.	Height of the building			=	107.4 m
8.	Number of stores	=		30	
9.	Wall thickness			=	230 mm
10.	Slab Thickness			=	120 mm
11.	Grade of the concrete			=	M40
12.	Grade of the steel			=	Fe415
13.	Thickness of shear wall			=	230 mm
14.	Support			=	fixed
15.	Column sizes			=	0.8 m X 1 m up to 8 th story
					0.65 m X 0.8 m from 9^{th} to 16^{th} story
					$0.5 \text{ m X } 0.7 \text{ m from } 17^{\text{th}} \text{ to } 24^{\text{th}} \text{ story}$
					$0.4 \text{ m X} 0.5 \text{ m from } 25^{\text{th}} \text{ to } 30^{\text{th}} \text{ story}$
16.	Beam sizes			=	$0.4 \text{ m X } 0.8 \text{ m up to } 15^{\text{m}} \text{ story}$
					$0.3 \text{ m X} 0.6 \text{ m}$ from 16^{m} to 30^{m} story



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

LOADS CONSIDERED:

DEAD LOADS:

S.No	Materials	Unit Weight kN/m ³
1	Plain concrete	24
2	Reinforced concrete	25
3	Brick masonry, cement plaster	20
4	Stone masonry	24
5	Wood	8
6	Steel	78.5
7	Floor finish	0.6-1.2

LIVE LOADS (OR) IMPOSED LOADS:

S.No	Type of Floors	Minimum Live Load kN/m ²
1	Floors in dwelling houses, tenements, hospitals wards, hostels and dormitories	2
2	Office floors other than entrance halls, floors of light	2.5-4.0
3	Floors of banking halls, office entrance halls and reading rooms	3
4	Shops ,educational buildings, assembly buildings, restaurants	4
5	Office floors for storage ,assembly floor space without fixed seating, public rooms in hotels, dance halls and waiting halls	5
6	Ware houses, workshops and factories	
	a) Light weight loads	5
	b) Medium weight loads	7.5
	c) Heavy weight loads	10
7	Garages(light handling vehicles of weight <25KN)	4
	Garages(heavy vehicles of weight >25KN)	7.5
8	Stairs, landings, balconies and corridors for floors mentioned in 1, but not liable to overcrowding and for all other floors	3
9	Flat slabs, sloped roots	5
	a)access provided	1.5
	b)access not provided	0.75



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

EARTHQUAKE LOADS:

Seismic Zone	II	III	IV	V
Seismic Intensity	low	moderate	severe	very severe
Zone Factor (Z)	0.10	0.16	0.24	0.36

WIND LOADS :

Wind loads IS: 875; (part-3)-1987 Design wind speed, $Vz = V_b K_1 . K_2 . K_3$ Where $K_1 =$ probability facts [risk at any height z in m/sec] $K_2 =$ terrain height and structure size factor clause [5.3.2] $K_3 =$ topography factor clause [5.3.3] NOTE: Design wind speed up to 10m height from mean G.L shall be considered constant. $K_2 =$ Category 3

For $k_1 = basic$ wind speed =44 m/sec

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Moment values for Zone II soil-I in under X-direction

zone II soil I					
Stoner	1.2(dd+ll+eqx)				
Storey	without sw	with sw			
14	45.4325	2.4778			
13	16.235	1.1983			
12	18.722	1.1033			
11	17.2808	0.8381			
10	20.237	0.8791			
9	45.931	2.6787			
8	22.9039	2.4808			
7	22.0605	2.2376			
6	19.9269	2.2379			
5	17.81	2.2638			
4	15.608	2.5477			
3	13.3587	3.0467			
2	11.2744	4.207			
1	23.17479	0.2338			
base	12.414	10.624			



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017



Graph55: Moment vs Storey for Zone II soil-I in under X-direction

Moment values for Zone II soil-II in under X-direction

zone II soil II				
Storay	1.2(dd+ll+eqx)			
Storey	without sw	with sw		
14	35.2304	0.1899		
13	10.7122	0.3464		
12	12.3874	0.7297		
11	11.1798	1.0738		
10	14.5635	1.3706		
9	35.0464	4.9701		
8	14.8314	5.7686		
7	14.0924	6.5773		
6	12.2869	7.2124		
5	10.5817	7.6499		
4	8.8438	7.7781		
3	7.1352	7.7638		
2	5.7486	6.079		
1	27.8171	9.6074		
base	13.3827	9.8693		



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017





Moment values for Zone II soil-III in under X-direction

zone II soil III				
0	1.2(dd+ll+eqx)			
Storey	without sw	with sw		
14	25.8821	0.1758		
13	4.6241	-0.212		
12	5.4374	0.3886		
11	4.3954	0.7929		
10	8.7732	1.15882		
9	25.0597	1.4675		
8	6.0817	5.3125		
7	5.37	6.1593		
6	3.7836	7.0126		
5	2.4262	7.6839		
4	1.101	8.1464		
3	-0.0911	8.2808		
2	-0.6975	8.2474		
1	36.2464	6.4499		
base	18.6719	10.0538		



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017



Moment vs Storey for Zone II soil-III in under X-direction

IV. CONCLUSION

- 1. The center of mass and center of rigidity is influenced by adding and positioning of shear wall. It can be concluded that all models are symmetric about x-direction and there is no effect of torsion due to center of mass and center of rigidity in x-direction. The performance of structure with shear wall is better than structure without shear wall because center of mass and center of rigidity become closer.
- 2. Provision of shear wall generally results in reducing the displacement because the shear wall increases the stiffness of building and sustains the lateral forces. The better performance is observed and displacement is reduced in both x and y directions and shows better performances with respect to displacement when analysis is carried out by using response spectrum method.

REFERENCES

- 1. Agarwal P. and M Shrikhande (2007), "Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures", Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., 2007, New Delhi.
- 2. Stefano, M.D., and Pintucchi, B., june 2-5,2002, "A Model For Analyzing Inelastic Seismic Response Of Plan-Irregular Buiding Structures", 15th ASCE engineering mechanics conference, Columbia University, New York, NY

3. Aoyama, H., 2001, Design of Modern High Rise Reinforced Concrete Structures, Imperial College Press, London, UK

4. Ashraf, M.,Siddiqi, Z.A.,andJaved, M.A. (2008)."Configuration of a multi-storey building subjected to lateral forces." Asian Journal of civil Engineering (Building and Housing), Vol. 9, No.5 Pages 525-537.

5. Anshuman, S.,DipenduBhunia, BhavinRamjiyani (2011)," Solution of shear wall location in Multi-storey building." International Journal of civil and structural Engineering Vol. 2, No.2 Pages 493-506.